This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/030,568	01/04/2002	Yasuhiko Shimizu	56871 (70958)	9246
21874 7:	590 09/22/2003			
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 9169 BOSTON, MA 02209			LANDREM, KAMRIN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	7
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/030,568	SHIMIZU, YASUHIKO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kamrin R. Landrem	3738			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SH THE - Externation of the control of the cont	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. on the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□		— · nis action is non-final.				
3)						
Disposit	ion of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1995 O.B. 11	, 433 0.0. 213.			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachmen	-					
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u>	5) Notice of Information	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
C Dotont and T	rademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/030,568

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hansson et al (USPN 5,656,605).

With reference to Figure 1, Hansson discloses a polyglycolic acid (2:53-55) artificial tube 2 having a lumen containing fibrous collagen (3:17-22) bodies 6 made of laminin (2:21-23) and other various growth factors (2:56-58).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansson in view of Buscemi et al (USPN 5,769,883).

Hansson, as discussed above, discloses the artificial nerve tube as claimed however. Hansson fails to disclose the coating and pore size of the mesh. Buscemi teaches a biodegradable tubular body composed of polyglycolic acid, collagen (6:13) and laminin (3:49) having a collagen based inner and outer coating (6:24-33) and a pore size of 0.1-30 microns (6:66-67) that provides mechanical support and a uniform release of drugs to the surrounding area. Therefore in view of the teachings it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the nerve tube as disclosed by Hansson to incorporate the coating and pore size of the tubular structure as taught by Buscemi in order to provide an artificial nerve tube that is bioabsorbable has improved biocompatible properties due to the coating.

Page 3

Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansson in view of Silver et al (USPN 4,703,108).

Hansson, as discussed above, discloses the artificial nerve tube as claimed however. Hansson fails to disclose the method of producing the tube. Silver teaches the method for producing a biodegradable matrix comprising the steps of introducing a hydrochloric acid to dissolve the laminin coated (8:45-50) collagen, freezing the solution before freeze drying the solution (7:60-68) and then further crosslinked (9:9-16) to provide a controlled release to internal wounds requiring that only one surgery be performed. Therefore in view of the teachings it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have composed the nerve tissue by the method as taught by Silver in order to produce a biodegradable matrix that allows for controlled release of drugs, growth factors, etc. and only requires one surgery.

Art Unit: 3738

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-4 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,4,5, and 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,090,117. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims describe the structure of an artificial nerve tube made from a bioabsorbable mesh having a collageneous coating and fibrous collagen bodies filled with laminin.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kamrin R. Landrem whose telephone number is 703-305-8061. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00, Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/030,568

Art Unit: 3738

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Kamrin Landrem Examiner AU 3738

KRL

CORRINE McDERMOTT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700